

Neurocomputing

Linear classification

Julien Vitay Professur für Künstliche Intelligenz - Fakultät für Informatik

 \equiv



1 - Hard linear classification

Binary classification

 \equiv

- The training data ${\cal D}$ is composed of N examples $({f x}_i,t_i)_{i=1..N}$, with a d-dimensional input vector ${f x}_i\in$ \Re^d and a binary output $t_i \in \{-1,+1\}$
- The data points where t = +1 are called the **positive class**, the other the **negative class**.



Binary classification

 \equiv

• For example, the inputs \mathbf{x}_i can be images (one dimension per pixel) and the positive class corresponds to cats ($t_i = +1$), the negative class to dogs ($t_i = -1$).



Sample of cats & dogs images from Kaggle Dataset

Dogs

Binary linear classification

 \equiv

- We want to find the hyperplane (\mathbf{w},b) of \Re^d that correctly separates the two classes.



Binary linear classification

- For a point $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{D}$, $\langle \mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{x}
 angle + b$ is the projection of \mathbf{x} onto the hyperplane (\mathbf{w}, b) .
 - If $\langle {f w}\cdot {f x}
 angle+b>0$, the point is above the hyperplane.
 - If $\langle {f w}\cdot{f x}
 angle+b<0$, the point is below the hyperplane.
 - If $\langle {f w}\cdot{f x}
 angle+b=0$, the point is on the hyperplane.
- By looking at the sign of $\langle {f w}\cdot{f x}
 angle+b$, we can predict the class of the input:

Ξ



$$ext{sign}(\langle \mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{x}
angle + b) = egin{cases} +1 ext{ if } \langle \mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{x}
angle + b \geq 0 \ -1 ext{ if } \langle \mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{x}
angle + b < 0 \end{cases}$$

Binary linear classification

 \equiv

• Binary linear classification can be made by a single **artificial neuron** using the sign transfer function.

$$y = f_{\mathbf{w},b}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathrm{sign}(\langle \mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{x}
angle + b) = \mathrm{sig$$



$$\operatorname{ign}(\sum_{j=1}^d w_j\,x_j+b)$$

• w is the weight vector and b is the bias.

Linearly separable datasets Linearly separable



- Linear classification is the process of finding an hyperplane $({f w},b)$ that correctly separates the two classes.
- If such an hyperplane can be found, the training set is said linearly separable.
- Otherwise, the problem is non-linearly separable and other methods have to be applied (MLP, SVM...).

 \equiv

Linear classification as an optimization problem

• The Perceptron algorithm tries to find the weights and biases minimizing the mean square error (mse) or quadratic loss:

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{w},b) = \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}}[(t_i-y_i)^2] pprox rac{1}{N}$$

- When the prediction y_i is the same as the data t_i for all examples in the training set (perfect) classification), the mse is minimal and equal to 0.
- We can apply gradient descent to find this minimum.

Ξ

$$\sum_{i=1}^N (t_i-y_i)^2$$

Linear classification as an optimization problem

• Let's search for the partial derivative of the quadratic error function with respect to the weight vector:

$$abla_{\mathbf{w}} \, \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{w},b) =
abla_{\mathbf{w}} \, rac{1}{N} \, \sum_{i=1}^N (t_i - y_i)^2 = rac{1}{N} \, \sum_{i=1}^N
abla_{\mathbf{w}} \, (t_i - y_i)^2 = rac{1}{N} \, \sum_{i=1}^N
abla_{\mathbf{w}} \, l_i(\mathbf{w},b)$$

• Everything is similar to linear regression until we get:

$$abla_{\mathbf{w}} \, l_i(\mathbf{w},b) = -2 \left(t_i - y_i
ight)
abla_{\mathbf{w}} \operatorname{sign}(\langle \mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{x}_i
angle + b)$$

• In order to continue with the chain rule, we would need to differentiate sign(x).

$$abla_{\mathbf{w}} \, l_i(\mathbf{w},b) = -2 \, (t_i - y_i) \operatorname{sign}'(\langle \mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{x}_i
angle + b) \, \mathbf{x}_i$$

• But the sign function is **not** differentiable...

 \equiv



Linear classification as an optimization problem

• We will simply pretend that the sign() function is linear, with a derivative of 1:

$$abla_{\mathbf{w}} \, l_i(\mathbf{w},b) = -2 \, (t_i -$$

• The update rule for the weight vector ${f w}$ and the bias b is therefore the same as in linear regression:

 \equiv

$$egin{aligned} \Delta \mathbf{w} &= \eta \, rac{1}{N} \, \sum_{i=1}^N (t_i - y_i) \ \Delta b &= \eta \, rac{1}{N} \, \sum_{i=1}^N (t_i - y_i) \ \end{bmatrix}$$

 $y_i) \mathbf{x}_i$

 $y_i) \mathbf{x}_i$

 $y_i)$

Batch version of linear classification

• By applying gradient descent on the quadratic error function, one obtains the following algorithm:

Batch linear classification

- for M epochs:
 - $\mathbf{dw} = 0$ db = 0
 - for each sample (\mathbf{x}_i, t_i) :

$$\circ \; y_i = \mathrm{sign}(\langle \mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{x}_i
angle + b)$$

$$\circ \mathbf{dw} = \mathbf{dw} + (t_i - y_i) \mathbf{x}_i$$

$$\circ \ db = db + (t_i - y_i)$$

•
$$\Delta \mathbf{w} = \eta \frac{1}{N} \mathbf{d} \mathbf{w}$$

•
$$\Delta b = \eta \, rac{1}{N} \, db$$

 \equiv

- This is called the **batch** version of the Perceptron algorithm.
- If the data is linearly separable and η is well chosen, it converges to the minimum of the mean square error.

Linear classification: batch version



Linear classification: batch version

 \equiv



Online version of linear classification : the Perceptron algorithm

• The **Perceptron algorithm** was invented by the psychologist Frank Rosenblatt in 1958. It was the first algorithmic neural network able to learn linear classification.

Perceptron algorithm

• for M epochs:

Ξ

• for each sample (\mathbf{x}_i, t_i) :

$$\circ \; y_i = \mathrm{sign}(\langle \mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{x}_i
angle + b)$$

$$\circ ~\Delta \mathbf{w} = \eta \left(t_i - y_i
ight) \mathbf{x}_i \, ,$$

$$\circ ~\Delta b = \eta \left(t_i - y_i
ight)$$

- This algorithm iterates over all examples of the training set and applies the **delta learning rule** to each of them immediately, not at the end on the whole training set.
- One could check whether there are still classification errors on the training set at the end of each epoch and stop the algorithm.
- The delta learning rule depends on the learning rate η , the error made by the prediction (t_i-y_i) and the input \mathbf{x}_i .

Linear classification: online version



Linear classification: online version

 \equiv



0 80 100

Batch vs. Online learning

slow.

 \equiv

• The mean square error is defined as the **expectation** over the data:

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{w},b) = \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}}[(t_i - y)]$$

• **Batch learning** uses the whole training set as samples to estimate the mse: the mse:

$$egin{split} \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{w},b) &pprox rac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N (t_i-y_i)^2 \ \Delta \mathbf{w} &= \eta \, rac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N (t_i-y_i) \, \mathbf{x_i} \end{split}$$

• Online learning converges faster, but can be instable and overfits (high variance).

 $|j_i)^2$]

• Online learning uses a single sample to estimate

$$egin{aligned} \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{w},b) &pprox (t_i-y_i)^2 \ \Delta \mathbf{w} &= \eta \left(t_i-y_i
ight) \mathbf{x_i} \end{aligned}$$

is sensible to noise in the data, but is very

Stochastic Gradient Descent - SGD

- In practice, we use a trade-off between batch and online learning called Stochastic Gradient Descent (SGD) or Minibatch Gradient Descent.
- The training set is randomly split at each epoch into small chunks of data (a **minibatch**, usually 32 or 64 examples) and the batch learning rule is applied on each chunk.

$$\Delta \mathbf{w} = \eta \, rac{1}{K} \, \sum_{i=1}^K (t_i - y_i) \, \mathbf{x_i}$$

- If the **batch size** is well chosen, SGD is as stable as batch learning and as fast as online learning.
- The minibatches are randomly selected at each epoch (i.i.d).

 \equiv

• Online learning is a stochastic gradient descent with a batch size of 1.



 \equiv

- Let's consider N samples $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^N$ independently taken from a **normal distribution** X.
- The probability density function (pdf) of a normal distribution is:

$$f(x;\mu,\sigma)=rac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}}\,\exp-rac{(x-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}$$

where μ is the mean of the distribution and σ its standard deviation.

Ξ





• The idea of MLE is to maximize the joint density function for all observations. This function is expressed by the **likelihood function**:

$$L(\mu,\sigma)=P(\mathbf{x};\mu,\sigma)=\prod_{i=1}^N f(x_i;\mu,\sigma)$$

• When the pdf takes high values for all samples, it is quite likely that the samples come from this particular distribution.

- The likelihood function reflects how well the parameters μ and σ explain the observations $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^N$.
- Note: the samples must be i.i.d. so that the likelihood is a product.



d σ explain the observations $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^N.$ luct.

- We therefore search for the values μ and σ which **maximize** the likelihood function.

$${
m max}_{\mu,\sigma} \quad L(\mu,\sigma) = \prod_{i=1}^N f($$

• For the normal distribution, the likelihood function is:

 \equiv

$$egin{aligned} L(\mu,\sigma) &= \prod_{i=1}^N f(x_i;\mu,\sigma) \ &= \prod_{i=1}^N rac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \, \exp{-rac{(x_i-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}} \ &= (rac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}})^N \, \prod_{i=1}^N \exp{-rac{(x_i-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}} \ &= (rac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}})^N \, \exp{-rac{\sum_{i=1}^N (x_i-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}} \end{aligned}$$

2

 $(x_i;\mu,\sigma)$

- To find the maximum of $L(\mu,\sigma)$, we need to search where the gradient is equal to zero:

$$egin{aligned} &rac{\partial L(\mu,\sigma)}{\partial \mu} = 0 \ &rac{\partial L(\mu,\sigma)}{\partial \sigma} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

• The likelihood function is complex to differentiate, so we consider its logarithm $l(\mu,\sigma)=\log(L(\mu,\sigma))$ which has a maximum for the same value of (μ,σ) as the log function is monotonic.

$$egin{aligned} l(\mu,\sigma) &= \log(L(\mu,\sigma)) \ &= \log\left((rac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}})^N\,\exp{-rac{\sum_{i=1}^N(x_i-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}}
ight) \ &= -rac{N}{2}\log(2\pi\sigma^2) - rac{\sum_{i=1}^N(x_i-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2} \end{aligned}$$

• $l(\mu, \sigma)$ is called the **log-likelihood** function.

 \equiv

$$l(\mu,\sigma)=-rac{N}{2}\log(2\pi\sigma^2)-rac{\sum_{i=1}^N(x_i-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}$$

• The maximum of the log-likelihood function respects:



• We obtain:

Ξ

$$\mu = rac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N x_i \qquad \qquad \sigma^2 = rac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N (x_i - \mu)^2$$

• Unsurprisingly, the mean and variance of the normal distribution which best explains the data are the mean and variance of the data...

$$\mu = rac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^N x_i \qquad \qquad \sigma^2 = rac{1}{N}$$

- The same principle can be applied to estimate the parameters of any distribution: normal, exponential, Bernouilli, Poisson, etc...
- When a machine learning method has an probabilistic interpretation (i.e. it outputs probabilities), MLE can be used to find its parameters.
- One can use global optimization like here, or gradient descent to estimate the parameters iteratively.

Ξ

$$\sum_{i=1}^N (x_i-\mu)^2$$

3 - Soft linear classification : Logistic regression

 \equiv

Reminder: Logistic regression

• We want to perform a regression, but where the targets t_i are bounded betwen 0 and 1.



• We can use a logistic function instead of a linear function in order to transform the net activation into an output:

 \equiv

$$y=\sigma(w\,x+b)=rac{1+\exp(b)}{1+\exp(b)}$$

5.0 10.0 7.5

(-w x - b)

Use of logistic regression for soft classification

- Logistic regression can be used in binary classification if we consider $y=\sigma(w\,x+b)$ as the probability that the example belongs to the positive class (t = 1).

$$P(t=1|x;w,b)=y;$$
 $P(t=0)$

• The output t therefore comes from a Bernouilli distribution ${\cal B}$ of parameter $p=y=f_{w,b}(x).$ The probability mass function (pmf) is:

$$f(t|x;w,b)=y^t \ (1-g)$$

- If we consider our training samples (x_i, t_i) as independently taken from this distribution, our task is:
 - to find the parameterized distribution that best explains the data, which means:
 - to find the parameters w and b maximizing the **likelihood** that the samples t come from a Bernouilli distribution when x, w and b are given.
- We only need to apply **Maximum Likelihood Estimation** (MLE) on this Bernouilli distribution!

 \equiv

0|x;w,b) = 1-y

 $(y)^{1-t}$

MLE for logistic regression

• The likelihood function for logistic regression is :

$$egin{aligned} L(w,b) &= P(t|x;w,b) = \prod_{i=1}^N y_i^{t_i} \ &= \prod_{i=1}^N y_i^{t_i} \ (1-y_i)^{1-t_i} \end{aligned}$$

• The likelihood function is quite hard to differentiate, so we take the **log-likelihood** function:

$$egin{aligned} l(w,b) &= \log L(w,b) \ &= \sum_{i=1}^N [t_i \, \log y_i + (1-t_i)] \end{aligned}$$

• or even better: the **negative log-likelihood** which will be minimized using gradient descent:

 \equiv

$$\mathcal{L}(w,b) = -\sum_{i=1}^N [t_i \,\log y_i + (1-$$

 $f(t_i | x_i; w, b)$

 $_i) \log(1-y_i)]$

 $t_i) \log(1-y_i)]$

MLE for logistic regression

 \equiv

• We then search for the minimum of the negative log-likelihood function by computing its gradient (here for a single sample):

$$egin{aligned} rac{\partial l_i(w,b)}{\partial w} &= -rac{\partial}{\partial w}[t_i\,\log y_i + (1-t_i)\,\log(1-y_i)] \ &= -t_i\,rac{\partial}{\partial w}\log y_i - (1-t_i)\,rac{\partial}{\partial w}\log(1-y_i) \ &= -t_i\,rac{\partial}{\partial w}rac{y_i}{y_i} - (1-t_i)\,rac{\partial}{\partial w}(1-y_i) \ &= -t_i\,rac{y_i\,(1-y_i)\,x_i}{y_i} + (1-t_i)\,rac{y_i\,(1-y_i)\,x_i}{1-y_i} \ &= -(t_i-y_i)\,x_i \ &= -(t_i-y_i)\,x_i \end{aligned}$$

• Same gradient as the linear perceptron, but with a non-linear output function!

Logistic regression for soft classification

• Logistic regression is a regression method used for classification. It uses a non-linear transfer function $\sigma(x) = rac{1}{1+\exp(-x)}$ applied on the net activation:

$$y_i = \sigma(\langle {f w} \cdot {f x}_i
angle + b)$$

• The continuous output y is interpreted as the probability of belonging to the positive class.

$$P(t_i=1|\mathbf{x}_i;\mathbf{w},b)=y_i; \qquad P(t_i=0|\mathbf{x}_i;\mathbf{w},b)=1-y_i$$

• We minimize the **negative log-likelihood** loss function using gradient descent:

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{w},b) = -\sum_{i=1}^{N} [t_i \, \log y_i + (1-t_i) \, \log(1-y_i)]$$

• We obtain the delta learning rule, using the class as a target and the probability as a prediction:

Ξ

$$egin{aligned} \Delta \mathbf{w} &= \eta \left(t_i - y_i
ight) & \mathbf{x} \ \Delta b &= \eta \left(t_i - y_i
ight) \end{aligned}$$

5)

\mathbf{X}_i

Logistic regression

Logistic regression

- $\mathbf{w} = 0$ b = 0
- for M epochs:

 \equiv

• for each sample (\mathbf{x}_i, t_i) :

•
$$y_i = \sigma(\langle \mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{x}_i \rangle + b)$$

• $\Delta \mathbf{w} = \eta (t_i - y_i) \mathbf{x}_i$
• $\Delta b = \pi (t_i - y_i)$

$$\circ \ \Delta b = \eta \left(t_i - y_i \right)$$



- Logistic regression works just like linear classification, except in the way the prediction is done.
- To know to which class \mathbf{x}_i belongs, simply draw a random number between 0 and 1:
 - if it is smaller t positive class.
 - if it is bigger th negative class.
- Alternatively, you can put a hard limit at 0.5:
 - if $y_i > 0.5$ then the class is positive.
 - if $y_i < 0.5$ then the class is negative.

- if it is smaller than y_i (probability y_i), it belongs to the
- if it is bigger than y_i (probability $1 y_i$), it belongs to the

Logistic regression





Ξ

Logistic regression and confidence score

• Logistic regression also provides a **confidence**

the closer y is from 0 or 1, the more confident we can be that the classification is correct.
This is particularly important in safety critical

 If you detect the positive class but with a confidence of 0.51, you should perhaps not trust the prediction.

 If the confidence score is 0.99, you can probably trust the prediction.

4 - Multi-class classification

Multi-class classification

 \equiv

- Can we perform multi-class classification using the previous methods when $t \in \{A,B,C\}$ instead of t = +1 or -1?



Multi-class classification

Two main solutions:

- **One-vs-All** (or One-vs-the-rest): one trains simultaneously a binary (linear) classifier for each class. The examples belonging to this class form the positive class, all others are the negative class:
 - A vs. B and C
 - B vs. A and C
 - C vs. A and B

If multiple classes are predicted for a single example, ones needs a confidence level for each classifier saying how sure it is of its prediction.

- **One-vs-One**: one trains a classifier for each pair of class:
 - A vs. B
 - B vs. C
 - C vs. A

 \equiv

A majority vote is then performed to find the correct class.

Multi-class classification

 \equiv

• Example of **One-vs-All** classification: one binary classifier per class.



Softmax linear classifier

 \mathbf{X}

Ξ



- Suppose we have C classes (dog vs. cat vs. ship vs...).
- a weight vector and a bias, working on the same input \mathbf{x} .

multiple linear regression:

vector **b**.

• The One-vs-All scheme involves C binary classifiers (\mathbf{w}_i, b_i) , each with

 $y_i = f(\langle \mathbf{w}_i \cdot \mathbf{x}
angle + b_i)$

• Putting all neurons together, we obtain a **linear perceptron** similar to

 $\mathbf{y} = f(W \times \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b})$

• The C weight vectors form a C imes d weight matrix W, the biases form a

Softmax linear classifier

stretch pixels into single column

• The net activations form a vector **z**:

 \equiv

$$\mathbf{z} = f_{W,\mathbf{b}}(\mathbf{x}) = W imes \mathbf{x}$$

- Each element z_j of the vector ${f z}$ is called the **logit score** of the class:
 - the higher the score, the more likely the input belongs to this class.
- The logit scores are not probabilities, as they can be negative and do not sum to 1.

$\mathbf{c} + \mathbf{b}$

One-hot encoding

- How do we represent the ground truth \boldsymbol{t} for each neuron?
- The target vector ${\boldsymbol{t}}$ is represented using **one-hot encoding**.

- The binary vector has one element per class: only one element is 1, the others are 0.
- Example:

 \equiv

$$\mathbf{t} = [ext{cat}, ext{dog}, ext{ship}, ext{house}, ext{car}] = [0, 1, 0, 0, 0]$$

One-hot encoding

 \equiv

- The labels can be seen as a **probability distribution** over the training set, in this case a **multinomial** distribution (a dice with C sides).
- For a given image \mathbf{x} (e.g. a picture of a dog), the conditional pmf is defined by the one-hot encoded vector **t**:

$$P(\mathbf{t}|\mathbf{x}) = [P(ext{cat}|\mathbf{x}), P(ext{dog}|\mathbf{x}), P(ext{ship}|\mathbf{x}), P(ext{house}|\mathbf{x}), P(ext{car}|\mathbf{x})] = [0, 1, 0, 0, 0]$$

• We need to transform the logit score z into a probability distribution P(y|x) that should be as close as possible from $P(\mathbf{t}|\mathbf{x})$.

Softmax linear classifier

 \equiv

• The **softmax** operator makes sure that the sum of the outputs $\mathbf{y} = \{y_i\}$ over all classes is 1.

$$y_j = P(ext{class} = ext{j} | extbf{x}) = \mathcal{S}(z_j) =$$

matrix multiply + bias offset

- The higher z_j , the higher the probability that the example belongs to class j.
- This is very similar to logistic regression for soft classification, except that we have multiple classes.

$$rac{\exp(z_j)}{\sum_k \exp(z_k)}$$

• We cannot use the mse as a loss function, as the softmax function would be hard to differentiate:

- We actually want to minimize the statistical distance netween two distributions:
 - The model outputs a multinomial probability distribution y for an input \mathbf{x} : $P(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{x}; W, \mathbf{b})$.
 - The one-hot encoded classes also come from a multinomial probability distribution $P(\mathbf{t}|\mathbf{x})$.
- We search which parameters (W, \mathbf{b}) make the two distributions $P(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{x}; W, \mathbf{b})$ and $P(\mathbf{t}|\mathbf{x})$ close.

 \equiv

- The training data $\{\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{t}_i\}$ represents samples from $P(\mathbf{t}|\mathbf{x})$.
- $P(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{x};W,\mathbf{b})$ is a good model of the data when the two distributions are close, i.e. when the **negative** log-likelihood of each sample under the model is small.

• For an input \mathbf{x} , we minimize the **cross-entropy** between the target distribution and the predicted outputs:

 \equiv

$$l(W,\mathbf{b}) = \mathcal{H}(\mathbf{t}|\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{x}) = \mathbb{E}_{t\sim P(\mathbf{t}|\mathbf{x})}[$$

 $[-\log P(\mathbf{y}=t|\mathbf{x})]$

Cross-entropy and negative log-likelihood

• The cross-entropy samples from ${f t}|{f x}$:

 \equiv

$$l(W, \mathbf{b}) = \mathcal{H}(\mathbf{t} | \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} | \mathbf{x}) = \mathbb{E}_{t \sim P(\mathbf{t} | \mathbf{x})}[-\log P(\mathbf{y} = t | \mathbf{x})]$$

• For a given input **x**, **t** is non-zero only for the correct class t^* , as **t** is a one-hot encoded vector [0, 1, 0, 0, 0]:

$$l(W,\mathbf{b}) = -\log P(\mathbf{y} =$$

• If we note j^* the index of the correct class t^* , the cross entropy is simply:

$$l(W,\mathbf{b})=-\log y_{j^*}$$

$t^*|\mathbf{x})$

Cross-entropy and negative log-likelihood

 y_j

• Minimizing the cross-entropy / negative log-likelihood pushes the output distribution $\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{x}$ to be as close as possible to the target distribution $\mathbf{t}|\mathbf{x}$.

 \equiv

• As only one element of ${f t}$ is non-zero, the crossentropy is the same as the **negative log-likelihood** of the prediction for the true label:

$$l(W,\mathbf{b})=-\log y_{j^*}$$

• The minimum of $-\log y$ is obtained when y = 1:

We want to classifier to output a probability 1 for the true label.

• Because of the softmax activation function, the probability for the other classes should become

$$p_j = P(ext{class} = ext{j}) = rac{\exp(z_j)}{\sum_k \exp(z_k)}$$

 \equiv

house

car

Ξ

• As ${f t}$ is a binary vector [0,1,0,0,0], the cross-entropy / negative log-likelihood can also be noted as the dot product between \mathbf{t} and $\log \mathbf{y}$:

$$l(W,\mathbf{b}) = -\langle \mathbf{t} \cdot \log \mathbf{y}
angle = -\sum_{j=1}^C t_j \ \log y_j = -\log y_{j^*}$$

• The cross-entropy loss function is then the expectation over the training set of the individual crossentropies:

$$\mathcal{L}(W,\mathbf{b}) = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{t}\sim\mathcal{D}}[-\langle \mathbf{t}\cdot\log\mathbf{y}
angle] pprox rac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^N -\langle \mathbf{t}_i\cdot\log\mathbf{y}_i
angle$$

• The nice thing with the **cross-entropy** loss function, when used on a softmax activation function, is that the partial derivative w.r.t the logit score \mathbf{z} is simple:

$$egin{aligned} rac{\partial l(W,\mathbf{b})}{\partial z_i} &= -\sum_j rac{\partial}{\partial z_i} t_j \log(y_j) = -\sum_j t_j rac{\partial}{\partial z_i} \ &= -rac{t_i}{y_i} rac{\partial y_i}{\partial z_i} - \sum_{j
eq i}^C rac{t_j}{y_j} rac{\partial y_j}{\partial z_i} = -rac{t_i}{y_i} y_i \ &= -t_i + t_i \, y_i + \sum_{j
eq i}^C t_j \, y_i = -t_i + rac{d}{y_i} y_i \ &= -(t_i - y_i) \end{aligned}$$

i.e. the same as with the mse in linear regression!

• Vector notation:

 \equiv

$$rac{\partial l(W,\mathbf{b})}{\partial \mathbf{z}} = -(\mathbf{t}-\mathbf{z})$$

• As:

 \equiv

$$\mathbf{z} = W imes \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b}$$

we can obtain the partial derivatives:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial l(W, \mathbf{b})}{\partial W} = \frac{\partial l(W, \mathbf{b})}{\partial \mathbf{z}} \times \frac{\partial \mathbf{z}}{\partial W} = \\ \frac{\partial l(W, \mathbf{b})}{\partial \mathbf{b}} = \frac{\partial l(W, \mathbf{b})}{\partial \mathbf{z}} \times \frac{\partial \mathbf{z}}{\partial \mathbf{b}} = \end{cases}$$

• So gradient descent leads to the **delta learning rule**:

$$egin{aligned} \Delta W &= \eta \left(\mathbf{t} - \mathbf{y}
ight) imes \ \Delta \mathbf{b} &= \eta \left(\mathbf{t} - \mathbf{y}
ight) \end{aligned}$$

 $= -(\mathbf{t} - \mathbf{y}) imes \mathbf{x}^T$

$-(\mathbf{t} - \mathbf{y})$

 \mathbf{x}^{T}

Softmax linear classifier

• We minimize the **cross-entropy / negative log-likelihood** on the training set:

$$\mathcal{L}(W,\mathbf{b}) = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{t}\sim\mathcal{D}}[-\langle\mathbf{t}\ \cdot$$

which simplifies into the **delta learning rule**:

Ξ

$$egin{aligned} \Delta W &= \eta \left(\mathbf{t} - \mathbf{y}
ight) imes \ \Delta \mathbf{b} &= \eta \left(\mathbf{t} - \mathbf{y}
ight) \end{aligned}$$

• We first compute the **logit scores z** using a linear

$\mathbf{z} = W \times \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b}$

• We turn them into probabilities y using the **softmax** activation function:

$$y_j = rac{\exp(z_j)}{\sum_k \exp(z_k)}$$

 $|\log \mathbf{y}\rangle]$

 \mathbf{x}^{T}

Comparison of linear classification and regression

• Classification and regression differ in the nature of their outputs: in classification they are discrete, in regression they are continuous values.

Ξ

real data **t**, we have found the same **delta learning rule**:

$$\left\{ egin{array}{lll} \Delta W = \ \Delta \mathbf{b} = \mathbf{c} \end{array}
ight.$$

- the loss function.
- For regression, we use linear activation functions and the **mean square error** (mse):

$$\mathcal{L}(W,\mathbf{b}) = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{t}\sim\mathcal{D}}[||\mathbf{t}-\mathbf{y}||^2]$$

• However, when trying to minimize the mismatch between a model \mathbf{y} and the

$$\eta\left(\mathbf{t}-\mathbf{y}
ight) imes\mathbf{x}^{T}$$

 $\eta\left(\mathbf{t}-\mathbf{y}
ight)$

Regression and classification are in the end the same problem for us. The only things that needs to be adapted is the **activation function** of the output and

> • For classification, we use the softmax activation function and the **cross-entropy** (negative loglikelihood) loss function.

$$\mathcal{L}(W,\mathbf{b}) = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{t}\sim\mathcal{D}}[-\langle \mathbf{t}\cdot\log\mathbf{y}
angle]$$

5 - Multi-label classification

Multi-label classification

GK Hart/Vikki Hart/Getty Images

Ξ

- distribution anymore:

$$\mathbf{t} = [\operatorname{cat}, \operatorname{do}]$$

is a dog **and** a cat.

$$\mathbf{t} = [\operatorname{cat}, \operatorname{dog},$$

• What if there is more than one label on the image?

• The target vector **t** does not represent a probability

 $[\mathrm{bg},\mathrm{ship},\mathrm{house},\mathrm{car}]=[1,1,0,0,0]$

• Normalizing the vector does not help: it is not a dog or a cat, it

[1, 1] ship, house, car = [0.5, 0.5, 0, 0, 0]

Multi-label classification

 \equiv

function for the output neurons:

ullettheir class:

 $y_j =$

minimize the negative log-likelihood:

$$l_j(W,\mathbf{b})=-t_j$$
]

• The **binary cross-entropy** loss is the sum of the negative log-likelihood for each class:

$$\mathcal{L}(W,\mathbf{b}) = \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}}[-\sum_{j=1}^C t_j \, \log y_j + (1-t_j) \, \mathrm{lo}$$

• For multi-label classification, we can simply use the **logistic** activation

 $\mathbf{y} = \sigma(W \times \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b})$

The outputs are between 0 and 1, but they do not sum to one. Each output neuron performs logistic regression for soft classification on

$$P(\mathrm{class}=j|\mathbf{x})$$

• Each output neuron y_j has a binary target t_j (one-vs-the-rest) and has to

 $\log y_i + (1 - t_i) \log(1 - y_i)$

 $\log(1-y_j)]$

6 - Metrics

Training vs. Generalization error

- The **training error** is the error made on the training set.
 - Easy to measure for classification: number of

number of misclassifications $\epsilon_{\mathcal{D}}$ number of examples

- training error of 0%.
- What matters is the generalization error, which is the error that will be made on new examples (not used during learning).
 - Much harder to measure (potentially infinite number of new examples, what is the correct answer?).
 - Often approximated by the empirical error on the test set: one keeps a number of training examples out of the learning phase and one tests the performance on them.
 - Need for cross-validation to detect overfitting.

misclassified examples divided by the total number.

Totally irrelevant on usage: reading the training set has a

Overfitting in regression

Overfitting in classification

Classification errors

Confusion matrix

Source:

Ξ

https://alliance.seas.upenn.edu/~cis520/dynamic/2017/wiki/index.php? n=Lectures.PrecisionRecall

• Classification errors can also depend on the class:

False Positive errors (FP, false alarm, type I) is when the classifier predicts a positive class for a negative example.

• False Negative errors (FN, miss, type II) is when the classifier predicts a negative class for a positive example.

• **True Positive** (TP) and **True Negative** (TN) are correctly classified examples.

• Is it better to fail to detect a cancer (FN) or to incorrectly predict one (FP)?

Classification errors

Source: https://upload.wikimedia.org/wikipedia/commons/2/26/Precisionrecall.svg

Ξ

$$\frac{\mathrm{FP} + \mathrm{FN}}{\mathrm{+FP} + \mathrm{TN} + \mathrm{FN}}$$

$$\frac{TP + TN}{P + FP + TN + FN}$$
d Precision (specificity)

$$\frac{P}{FN} P = \frac{TP}{TP + FP}$$

$$\mathrm{F1} = rac{2\,P\,R}{P+R}$$

Confusion matrix

- For multiclass classification problems, the **confusion matrix** tells how many examples are correctly classified and where confusion happens.
- One axis is the predicted class, the other is the target class.
- Each element of the matrix tells how many examples are classified or misclassified.
- The matrix should be as diagonal as possible.

• Using scikit-learn:

 \equiv

from sklearn.metrics import confusion_matrix

```
m = confusion_matrix(t, y)
```

