

### Neurocomputing Multi-layer Perceptron

**Julien Vitay** Professur für Künstliche Intelligenz - Fakultät für Informatik

 $\equiv$ 



## 1 - Multi-layer perceptron

### **Multi-layer perceptron**

Ξ



### • A Multi-Layer Perceptron (MLP) or feedforward **neural network** is composed of:

- ullet

an input layer for the input vector x

 one or several hidden layers allowing to project non-linearly the input into a space of higher dimensions  $\mathbf{h}_1, \mathbf{h}_2, \mathbf{h}_3, \ldots$ 

an output layer for the output y.

• If there is a single hidden layer  ${f h}$ , it corresponds to the feature space.

Each layer takes inputs from the previous layer.

• If the hidden layer is adequately chosen, the output neurons can learn to replicate the desired output  ${f t}$ .

### **Fully-connected layer**





 $\equiv$ 

matrix-vector multiplication:

$$\mathbf{h} = f(\mathbf{net_h}) = f(W^1 \, \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b}^1)$$

 $\mathbf{y} = f(\mathbf{ne})$ 

- b.
- activation.



• The operation performed by each layer can be written in the form of a

$$\mathbf{et_y}) = f(W^2 \, \mathbf{h} + \mathbf{b}^2)$$

• Fully-connected layers (FC) transform an input vector x into a new vector  ${f h}$  by multiplying it by a **weight matrix** W and adding a **bias vector** 

• A non-linear activation function transforms each element of the net

### **Activation functions**

 $\equiv$ 





• Rectified linear function - ReLU (output is continuous and positive).

$$f(x) = \max(0,x) = egin{cases} x & ext{if} \ 0 & ext{o} \end{bmatrix}$$

• Parametric Rectifier Linear Unit - PReLU (output is continuous).

 $\equiv$ 

$$f(x) = egin{cases} x & ext{if} \quad x \geq 0 \ lpha x & ext{otherw} \end{cases}$$

 $\quad \text{if} \quad x\geq 0$ 

otherwise.

0

wise.

## Softmax activation function



matrix multiply + bias offset

Source http://cs231n.github.io/linear-classify

 $\equiv$ 

• For classification problems, the **softmax** activation function can be used in the output layer to make sure that the sum of the outputs  $\mathbf{y} = \{y_j\}$  over all output neurons is one.

$$y_j = P( ext{class} = ext{j}) = rac{ ext{exp}( ext{net}_j)}{\sum_k ext{exp}( ext{net}_k)}$$

- The higher the net activation  $\operatorname{net}_i$ , the higher the probability that the example belongs to class j.
- Softmax is not *per se* a transfer function (not local to each neuron), but the idea is similar.

### Why non-linear activation functions?

• Why not use the linear function f(x) = x in the hidden layer?

$$\mathbf{h} = W^1 \, \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b}^1$$
 $\mathbf{y} = W^2 \, \mathbf{h} + \mathbf{b}^2$ 

• The equivalent function would be linear...

$$egin{aligned} \mathbf{y} &= W^2 \left( W^1 \, \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b}^1 
ight) + \mathbf{b} \ &= (W^2 \, W^1) \, \mathbf{x} + (W^2 \, \mathbf{b} \ &= W \, \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b} \end{aligned}$$

• Remember Cover's theorem:

 $\equiv$ 

A complex pattern-classification problem, cast in a high dimensional space non-linearly, is more likely to be linearly separable than in a low-dimensional space, provided that the space is not densely populated.

• In practice it does not matter how non-linear the function is (e.g PReLU is almost linear), but there must be at least one non-linearity.



### **Training a MLP : loss functions**

Ξ

• We have a training set composed of N input/output pairs  $(\mathbf{x}_i,$ 

**Optimization problem** What are the free parameters  $\theta$  (weights  $W^1, W^2$  and biases  ${f b}^1, {f b}^2$ ) making the prediction y as close as possible from the desired output t?

- We define a loss function  $\mathcal{L}(\theta)$  of the free parameters which should be minimized:
  - For **regression** problems, we take the **mean square error** (mse):

$$\mathcal{L}_{ ext{reg}}( heta) = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{t} \in \mathcal{D}}[||\mathbf{t}||$$

• For classification problems, we take the cross-entropy or negative log-likelihood on a softmax output layer:

$$\mathcal{L}_{ ext{class}}( heta) = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{t}\sim\mathcal{D}}[-\langle \mathbf{t} |$$

$$\mathbf{t}_i)_{i=1..N}.$$

 $-|\mathbf{y}||^2]$ 

 $\cdot \log \mathbf{y} 
angle$ 

### **Training a MLP : optimizer**

 $\equiv$ 

• To minimize the chosen loss function, we are going to use stochastic gradient descent iteratively until the network converges:

$$egin{aligned} &\Delta W^1 = -\eta \, 
abla_{W^1} \, \mathcal{L} \ &\Delta \mathbf{b}^1 = -\eta \, 
abla_{\mathbf{b}^1} \, \mathcal{L}( heta \ &\Delta W^2 = -\eta \, 
abla_{W^2} \, \mathcal{L} \ &\Delta \mathbf{b}^2 = -\eta \, 
abla_{\mathbf{b}^2} \, \mathcal{L}( heta \ &\Delta \mathbf{b}^2 = -\eta \, 
abla_{\mathbf{b}^2} \, \mathcal{L}( heta \ &\Delta \mathbf{b}^2 = -\eta \, 
abla_{\mathbf{b}^2} \, \mathcal{L}( heta \ &\Delta \mathbf{b}^2 = -\eta \, 
abla_{\mathbf{b}^2} \, \mathcal{L}( heta \ &\Delta \mathbf{b}^2 = -\eta \, 
abla_{\mathbf{b}^2} \, \mathcal{L}( heta \ &\Delta \mathbf{b}^2 = -\eta \, 
abla_{\mathbf{b}^2} \, \mathcal{L}( heta \ &\Delta \mathbf{b}^2 = -\eta \, 
abla_{\mathbf{b}^2} \, \mathcal{L}( heta \ &\Delta \mathbf{b}^2 = -\eta \, 
abla_{\mathbf{b}^2} \, \mathcal{L}( heta \ &\Delta \mathbf{b}^2 \,$$

- We will see later that other optimizers than SGD can be used.
- The question is now how to compute efficiently these gradients w.r.t all the weights and biases.
- The algorithm to achieve this is called **backpropagation**, which is simply a smart implementation of the chain rule.

- $(\theta)$
- 7)
- $(\theta)$
- )

## 2 - Backpropagation

### **Backpropagation on a shallow network**



• and for the hidden layer:

 $\equiv$ 

$$egin{aligned} &rac{\partial \mathcal{L}( heta)}{\partial W^1} = rac{\partial \mathcal{L}( heta)}{\partial \mathbf{y}} imes rac{\partial \mathbf{y}}{\partial \mathbf{net_y}} imes rac{\partial \mathbf{net_y}}{\partial \mathbf{h}} \ &rac{\partial \mathcal{L}( heta)}{\partial \mathbf{b}^1} = rac{\partial \mathcal{L}( heta)}{\partial \mathbf{y}} imes rac{\partial \mathbf{y}}{\partial \mathbf{net_y}} imes rac{\partial \mathbf{net_y}}{\partial \mathbf{h}} \end{aligned}$$

• If we can compute all these partial derivatives / gradients individually, the problem is solved.

$$egin{aligned} \mathbf{net_h} &) = f(W^1 \, \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b}^1) \ \mathbf{net_y} &) = f(W^2 \, \mathbf{h} + \mathbf{b}^2) \end{aligned}$$



### **Gradient of the loss function**

- We have already seen for the linear algorithms that the derivative of the loss function w.r.t the net activation of the output  $\mathbf{net}_{\mathbf{y}}$  is proportional to the **prediction error**  $\mathbf{t} - \mathbf{y}$ :
  - mse for regression:

 $\equiv$ 

$$\delta_{\mathbf{y}} = -rac{\partial l_{ ext{reg}}( heta)}{\partial \mathbf{net}_{\mathbf{y}}} = -rac{\partial l_{ ext{reg}}( heta)}{\partial \mathbf{y}} imes rac{\partial \mathbf{y}}{\partial \mathbf{net}_{\mathbf{y}}} = 2\left(\mathbf{t}-\mathbf{y}
ight)f'(\mathbf{net}_{\mathbf{y}})$$

cross-entropy using a softmax output layer:

$$\delta_{\mathbf{y}} = -rac{\partial l_{ ext{class}}( heta)}{\partial \mathbf{net}_{\mathbf{y}}} = (\mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{y}})$$

• 
$$\delta_{\mathbf{y}} = -rac{\partial l( heta)}{\partial \mathbf{net}_{\mathbf{y}}}$$
 is called the output error.

- The output error is going to appear in all partial derivatives, i.e. in all learning rules.
- The backpropagation algorithm is sometimes called **backpropagation of the error**.

 $\mathbf{t} - \mathbf{y}$ 

### **Gradient in the output layer**

Ξ

• We now have everything we need to train the output layer:



• As  $\mathbf{net_y} = W^2 \, \mathbf{h} + \mathbf{b}^2$ , we get for the cross-entropy loss:

$$rac{\partial l( heta)}{\partial W^2} = -\delta_{\mathbf{y}} imes \mathbf{h}^T \; ext{ and } \; rac{\partial l( heta)}{\partial \mathbf{b}^2} = -\delta_{\mathbf{y}}$$

i.e. exactly the same delta learning rule as a softmax linear classifier or multiple linear regression using the vector  ${f h}$  as an input.

$$egin{aligned} \Delta W^2 &= \eta \, \delta_{\mathbf{y}} imes \mathbf{h}^T = \eta \, (\mathbf{t} \ \Delta \mathbf{b}^2 &= \eta \, \delta_{\mathbf{y}} = \eta \, (\mathbf{t} - \mathbf{y}) \end{aligned}$$

$$(-\mathbf{y}) imes \mathbf{h}^T$$

## **Gradient in the hidden layer**

• Let's note  $\delta_{\bf h}$  the **hidden error**, i.e. minus the gradient of the loss function w.r.t the net activation of the hidden layer:

$$\delta_{\mathbf{h}} = -rac{\partial l( heta)}{\partial \mathbf{net_h}} = -rac{\partial l( heta)}{\partial \mathbf{net_y}} imes rac{\partial \mathbf{net_y}}{\partial \mathbf{h}} imes rac{\partial \mathbf{h}}{\partial \mathbf{net_h}} = \delta_{\mathbf{y}} imes rac{\partial \mathbf{net_y}}{\partial \mathbf{h}} imes rac{\partial \mathbf{h}}{\partial \mathbf{net_h}}$$

• Using this hidden error, we can compute the gradients w.r.t  $W^1$  and  ${f b}^1$ :

• As  $\mathbf{net_h} = W^1 \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b}^1$ , we get:

 $\equiv$ 

$$egin{aligned} rac{\partial l( heta)}{\partial W^1} &= -\delta_{\mathbf{h}} imes \mathbf{x}^T \ & \ rac{\partial l( heta)}{\partial \mathbf{b}^1} &= -\delta_{\mathbf{h}} \end{aligned}$$

### **Gradient in the hidden layer**



• If we know the **hidden error**  $\delta_{f h}$ , the update rules for the input weights  $W^1$  and  $f b^1$  also take the form of the delta learning rule:

$$egin{array}{lll} \Delta W^1 = \eta \, \delta_{f h} imes {f x} \ \Delta {f b}^1 = \eta \, \delta_{f h} \end{array}$$

• This is the classical form eta \* error \* input.

 $\equiv$ 

• All we need to know is the **backpropagated error**  $\delta_{f h}$  and we can apply the delta learning rule!

T

### **Backpropagated error**

 $\equiv$ 



we obtain:

- $\delta_{\mathbf{h}} =$
- If f h and  $\delta_{f h}$  have K elements and f y and  $\delta_{f y}$  have C elements, the matrix  $W^2$  is C imes K as  $W^2 imes f h$ must be a vector with C elements.
- $(W^2)^T imes \delta_{f v}$  is therefore a vector with K elements, which is then multiplied element-wise with the derivative of the transfer function to obtain  $\delta_{\mathbf{h}}$ .

• The backpropagated error  $\delta_{\mathbf{h}}$  is a vector assigning an error to each

$$rac{\partial \mathbf{\hat{p}}}{\mathbf{h}_{\mathbf{h}}} = \delta_{\mathbf{y}} imes rac{\partial \mathbf{net}_{\mathbf{y}}}{\partial \mathbf{h}} imes rac{\partial \mathbf{h}}{\partial \mathbf{net}_{\mathbf{h}}}$$

$$\mathbf{et_y} = W^2 \, \mathbf{h} + \mathbf{b}^2$$

$$\mathbf{h} = f(\mathbf{net_h})$$

$$f'(\mathbf{net_h})\,(W^2)^T imes \delta_{\mathbf{y}}$$

## **Backpropagation for a shallow MLP**



what allows to apply the delta learning rule to all parameters:

 $\equiv$ 

$$egin{aligned} &\Delta W^2 = \eta \, \delta_{f y} imes {f h} \ &\Delta {f b}^2 = \eta \, \delta_{f y} \ &\Delta W^1 = \eta \, \delta_{f h} imes {f x} \ &\Delta {f b}^1 = \eta \, \delta_{f h} \end{aligned}$$

• For a shallow MLP with one hidden layer:

$$\mathbf{h} = f(\mathbf{net_h}) = f(W^1 \, \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b}^1)$$

$$\mathbf{y} = f(\mathbf{net_y}) = f(W^2 \, \mathbf{h} + \mathbf{b}^2)$$

$$\delta_{\mathbf{y}} = -rac{\partial l( heta)}{\partial \mathbf{net}_{\mathbf{y}}} = (\mathbf{t} - \mathbf{y})$$

is **backpropagated** to the hidden layer:

$$\delta_{\mathbf{h}} = f'(\mathbf{net}_{\mathbf{h}}) \, (W^2)^T imes \delta_{\mathbf{y}}$$

T

T

### **Derivative of the activation functions**

• Threshold and sign functions are not differentiable, we simply consider the derivative is 1.

$$f(x) = egin{cases} 1 & ext{if} \quad x \geq 0 \ 0 ext{ or } 1 & ext{otherwise.} \end{cases} ext{ } ext{ } ext{ } f'(x) = 1$$

• The logistic or sigmoid function has the nice property that its derivative can be expressed as a function of itself:

$$f(x)=rac{1}{1+\exp(-x)} \hspace{1cm} 
ightarrow \hspace{1cm} f'(x)=f(x)\left(1-f(x)
ight)$$

• The hyperbolic tangent function too:

$$f(x) = anh(x) \qquad o \qquad f'(x) = 1 - f(x)^2$$

• ReLU is even simpler:

Ξ

$$f(x)=\max(0,x)=egin{cases} x & ext{if} \quad x\geq 0\ 0 & ext{otherwise}. \end{cases}$$

$$f'(x) = egin{cases} 1 & ext{if} \quad x \geq 0 \ 0 & ext{otherwise}. \end{cases}$$

### What is backpropagated?

Ξ



• This is possible because we know the output error directly from the data  $t_k$ .

• Let's have a closer look at what is backpropagated using single neurons and weights.

• The output neuron  $y_k$  computes:

$$y_k = f(\sum_{j=1}^K W_{jk}^2 \, h_j + b_k^2)$$

• All output weights  $W_{jk}^2$  are updated proportionally to the output error of the neuron  $y_k$ :

$$\Delta W_{jk}^2 = \eta\,\delta_{y_k}\,h_j = \eta\,(t_k-y_k)\,h_j$$

### What is backpropagated?

 $\equiv$ 



• We need to estimate the backpropagated error using the output error.

• The hidden neuron  $h_j$  computes:

$$h_j=f(\sum_{i=1}^d W^1_{ij}\,x_i+b^1_j)$$

• We want to learn the hidden weights  $W^1_{ij}$  using the delta learning rule:

$$\Delta W^1_{ij} = \eta \, \delta_{h_j} \, x_i$$

but we do not know the ground truth of the hidden neuron in the data:

$$\delta_{h_j} = (?-h_j)$$

## What is backpropagated?



Ξ

 $\delta_{\mathbf{h}}$  :

- neuron  $h_i$  and the output neurons  $y_k$ .
- The backpropagated error is the **contribution** of each hidden neuron  $h_i$  to the output error:
  - If there is no output error, there is no hidden error.
  - If a hidden neuron sends strong weights  $|W_{jk}^2|$  to an output neuron  $y_k$  with a strong prediction error  $\delta_{y_k}$  , this means that it participates strongly to the output error and should learn from it.
  - If the weight  $|W_{jk}^2|$  is small, it means that the hidden neuron does not take part in the output error.

$$= f'(\mathbf{net_h})\,(W^2)^T imes \delta_{\mathbf{y}}$$

• If we omit the derivative of the transfer function, the backpropagated error for the hidden neuron  $h_i$  is:

$$\delta_{h_j} = -\sum_{k=1}^C W_{jk}^2\,\delta_{y_k}$$

• The backpropagated error is an **average** of the output errors  $\delta_{y_k}$  , weighted by the output weights between the hidden

### **MLP: the universal approximation theorem**

### **Universal approximation theorem**

### Cybenko, 1989

Let  $\varphi()$  be a nonconstant, bounded, and monotonically-increasing continuous function. Let  $I_{m_0}$  denote the  $m_0$ -dimensional unit hypercube  $[0,1]^{m_0}$ . The space of continuous functions on  $I_{m_0}$  is denoted by  $C(I_{m_0})$ . Then, given any function  $f\in C(I_{m_0})$  and  $\epsilon>0$ , there exists an integer  $m_1$  and sets of real constants  $lpha_i, b_i$  and  $w_{ij} \in \Re$ , where  $i=1,...,m_1$  and  $j=1,...,m_0$  such that we may define:

$$F(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^{m_1} lpha_i \cdot arphi \left( \sum_{j=1}^{m_0} w_{ij} \cdot x_j 
ight)$$

as an approximate realization of the function f; that is,

$$|F(\mathbf{x}) - f(\mathbf{x})| < \epsilon$$

for all  $x\in I_m$ .

 $\equiv$ 

• This theorem shows that for **any** input/output mapping function f in supervised learning, there exists a MLP with  $m_1$  neurons in the hidden layer which is able to approximate it with a desired precision!

$$+b_i$$

### **Properties of MLP**

Ξ

- The universal approximation theorem only proves the existence of a shallow MLP with  $m_1$  neurons in the hidden layer that can approximate any function, but it does not tell how to find this number.
- A rule of thumb to find this number is that the generalization error is empirically close to:

$$\epsilon = rac{\mathrm{VC_{dim}(MLP)}}{N}$$

where  $VC_{dim}(MLP)$  is the total number of weights and biases in the model, and N the number of training samples.

- The more neurons in the hidden layer, the better the training error, but the worse the generalization error (overfitting).
- The optimal number should be found with cross-validation methods.
- For most functions, the optimal number  $m_1$  is high and becomes quickly computationally untractable. We need to go deep!

## 3 - Deep neural networks

### **Deep Neural Network**

• A MLP with more than one hidden layer is a **deep neural network**.



Ξ



### **Backpropagation for deep neural networks**

• Backpropagation still works if we have many hidden layers  $\mathbf{h}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{h}_n$ :



• If each layer is differentiable, i.e. one can compute its gradient  $\frac{\partial \mathbf{h}_k}{\partial \mathbf{h}_{k-1}}$ , we can chain **backwards** each partial derivatives to know how to update each layer:



• **Backpropagation** is simply an efficient implementation of the chain rule: the partial derivatives are iteratively reused in the backwards phase.

 $\equiv$ 

# **Gradient of a fully connected layer**

• A fully connected layer transforms an input vector  $\mathbf{h}_{k-1}$  into an output vector  $\mathbf{h}_k$  using a weight matrix  $W^k$ , a bias vector  $\mathbf{b}^k$  and a non-linear activation function f:

$$\mathbf{h}_k = f(\mathbf{net}_{\mathbf{h}^k}) = f(W^k \, \mathbf{h}_{k-1} + \mathbf{b}^k)$$

Ξ

• The gradient of its output w.r.t the input  $\mathbf{h}_{k-1}$  is (using the chain rule):

$$rac{\partial \mathbf{h}_k}{\partial \mathbf{h}_{k-1}} = f'(\mathbf{net}_{\mathbf{h}^k})\,\mathbf{h}_k$$

• The gradients of its output w.r.t the free parameters  $W^k$  and  $\mathbf{b}_k$  are:

$$egin{aligned} &rac{\partial \mathbf{h}_k}{\partial W^k} = f'(\mathbf{net_{h^k}}) \, \mathbf{h}_k \ &rac{\partial \mathbf{h}_k}{\partial \mathbf{b}_k} = f'(\mathbf{net_{h^k}}) \end{aligned}$$



 $W^k$ 

k - 1

### **Gradient of a fully connected layer**



• It adds to this gradient its own contribution and transmits it to the previous layer:

$$rac{\partial \mathcal{L}( heta)}{\partial \mathbf{h}_{k-1}} = rac{\partial \mathcal{L}( heta)}{\partial \mathbf{h}_k} imes rac{\partial \mathbf{h}_k}{\partial \mathbf{h}_{k-1}} = f'(\mathbf{net}_{\mathbf{h}^k}) \, (W^k)^T imes rac{\partial \mathcal{L}( heta)}{\partial \mathbf{h}_k}$$

• It then updates its parameters  $W^k$  and  $\mathbf{b}_k$  with:

Ξ

$$\left\{egin{aligned} rac{\partial \mathcal{L}( heta)}{\partial W^k} &= rac{\partial \mathcal{L}( heta)}{\partial \mathbf{h}_k} imes rac{\partial \mathbf{h}_k}{\partial W^k} = f'(\mathbf{net}_{\mathbf{h}^k}) \, rac{\partial \mathcal{L}( heta)}{\partial \mathbf{h}_k} imes \mathbf{h}_{k-1}^T \ & \ rac{\partial \mathcal{L}( heta)}{\partial \mathbf{b}_k} &= rac{\partial \mathcal{L}( heta)}{\partial \mathbf{h}_k} imes rac{\partial \mathbf{h}_k}{\partial \mathbf{b}_k} = f'(\mathbf{net}_{\mathbf{h}^k}) \, rac{\partial \mathcal{L}( heta)}{\partial \mathbf{h}_k} \end{aligned}$$

• A fully connected layer  $\mathbf{h}_k = f(W^k \, \mathbf{h}_{k-1} + \mathbf{b}^k)$ receives the gradient of the loss function w.r.t. its output  $\mathbf{h}_k$  from the layer above:

$$rac{\partial \mathcal{L}( heta)}{\partial \mathbf{h}_k}$$

### Training a deep neural network with backpropagation

- A **feedforward** neural network is an acyclic graph of differentiable and parameterized layers.
  - $\mathbf{x} 
    ightarrow \mathbf{h}_1 
    ightarrow \mathbf{h}_2 
    ightarrow \ldots 
    ightarrow \mathbf{h}_n 
    ightarrow \mathbf{y}$
- The **backpropagation** algorithm is used to assign the gradient of the loss function  $\mathcal{L}(\theta)$  to each layer using backward chaining:



• **Stochastic gradient descent** is then used to update the parameters of each layer:

Ξ

$$\Delta W^k = -\eta \, rac{\partial \mathcal{L}( heta)}{\partial W^k} = -\eta \, rac{\partial \mathcal{L}( heta)}{\partial \mathbf{k}}$$



### 4 - Example

### **MLP example**

 $\equiv$ 

• Let's try to solve this **non-linear** binary classification problem:





Ξ

• We can create a shallow MLP with:

• Two input neurons  $x_1, x_2$  for the two input variables.

 Enough hidden neurons (e.g. 20), with a sigmoid or ReLU activation function.

 One output neuron with the logistic activation function.

The cross-entropy (negative log-likelihood) loss function.

• We train it on the input data using the

backpropagation algorithm and the SGD optimizer.

### **MLP example**

 $\equiv$ 



• Experiment live on https://playground.tensorflow.org/!

## **Automatic differentiation Deep Learning frameworks Current:**

- **Tensorflow** https://www.tensorflow.org/ released by Google in 2015 is one of the two standard DL frameworks.
- **Keras** https://keras.io/ is a high-level Python API over tensorflow (but also theano, CNTK and MxNet) written by Francois Chollet.
- **PyTorch** http://pytorch.org by Facebook is the other standard framework.

### **Historical**:

 $\equiv$ 

- Theano http://deeplearning.net/software/theano/ released by U Toronto in 2010 is the predecessor of tensorflow. Now abandoned.
- Caffe http://caffe.berkeleyvision.org/ by U Berkeley was long the standard library for convolutional networks.
- CNTK https://github.com/Microsoft/CNTK (Microsoft Cognitive Toolkit) is a free library by Microsoft!
- **MxNet** https://github.com/apache/incubator-mxnet from Apache became the DL framework at Amazon.

- Let's implement the previous MLP using keras.
- We first need to generate the data using scikit-learn:

import sklearn.datasets
X, t = sklearn.datasets.make\_circles(n\_samples=100, shuffle=True, noise=0.15, factor=0.3)

• We then import tensorflow:

```
import tensorflow as tf
```

Ξ

• The neural network is called a Sequential model in keras:

```
model = tf.keras.Sequential()
```

• Creating a NN is simply **stacking** layers in the model. The input layer is just a placeholder for the data:

```
model.add( tf.keras.layers.Input(shape=(2, )) )
```

• The hidden layer has 20 neurons, the ReLU activation and takes input from the previous layer:

```
model.add(
    tf.keras.layers.Dense(
        20, # Number of hidden neurons
        activation='relu' # Activation function
```

• The output layer has 1 neuron with the logistic/sigmoid activation function:

```
model.add(
    tf.keras.layers.Dense(
        1, # Number of output neurons
        activation='sigmoid' # Soft classification
```

 $\equiv$ 

• We now choose an optimizer (SGD) with a learning rate  $\eta = 0.001$ :

optimizer = tf.keras.optimizers.SGD(lr=0.001)

• We choose a loss function (binary cross-entropy, aka negative log-likelihood):

loss = tf.keras.losses.binary\_crossentropy

Ξ

• We compile the model (important!) and tell it to track the accuracy of the model:

```
model.compile(
    loss=loss,
    optimizer=optimizer,
    metrics=tf.keras.metrics.categorical_accuracy
```

• Et voilà! The network has been created.

print(model.summary())

Model: "sequential\_1"

| Layer (type)  | Output Shape | Para   |
|---|--------------|--------|
| dense (Dense)   | (None, 20)   | <br>60 |
| dense_1 (Dense)   | (None, 1)    | 21     |
| Total params: 81<br>Trainable params: 81<br>Non-trainable params: 0 |              |        |

None

 $\equiv$ 

am #

======

======

• We now train the model on the data for 100 epochs using a batch size of 10 and wait for it to finish:

model.fit(X, t, batch\_size=10, nb\_epoch=100)

- With keras (and the other automatic differentiation frameworks), you only need to define the structure of the network.
- The rest (backpropagation, SGD) is done automatically.
- To make predictions on new data, just do:

```
model.predict(X_test)
```

Ξ