

Neurocomputing

Vision Transformers

Julien Vitay Professur für Künstliche Intelligenz - Fakultät für Informatik



1 - Vision transformers

Vision transformer (ViT)

- The transformer architecture can also be applied to computer vision, by splitting images into a sequence of small patches (16x16).
- The sequence of patches can then be classified using the first output of the Transformer encoder (BERT) using supervised learning on Imagenet.



Source: https://ai.googleblog.com/2020/12/transformers-for-image-recognition-at.html

Vision transformer (ViT)

 \equiv

- The Vision Transformer (ViT) outperforms state-of-the-art CNNs on Imagenet while requiring less computations (Flops), but only when pretrained on bigger datasets.
- The performance is acceptable when trained on ImageNet (1M images), great when pre-trained on ImageNet-21k (14M images), and state-of-the-art when pre-trained on Google's internal JFT-300M dataset (300M images).





at.html

https://ai.googleblog.com/2020/12/transformers-for-image-recognition-

2 - Self-supervised Vision Transformer

 \blacksquare

Self-supervised Vision Transformer (SiT)

- ViT only works on big supervised datasets (ImageNet). Can we benefit from self-supervised learning as in BERT or GPT?
- The Self-supervised Vision Transformer (SiT) has an denoising autoencoder-like structure, reconstructing corrupted patches autoregressively.



Self-supervised Vision Transformer (SiT)

• Self-supervised learning is possible through from **data augmentation**, where various corruptions (masking, replacing, color distortion, blurring) are applied to the input image, but SiT must reconstruct the original image (denoising autoencoder, reconstruction loss).



Original Image

 \equiv

Random Drop

Random

Replace

Colour Distortion

- An auxiliary **rotation loss** forces SiT to predict the orientation of the image (e.g. 30°).
- An auxiliary **contrastive loss** ensures that high-level representations are different for different images.

Backbone	Linear Evaluation			Domain Transfer	
	CIFAR10	CIFAR100	Tiny-ImageNet	C100→C10	C10 →C100
et-32	$43.31\% \pm 0.62$	$20.44\% \pm 0.80$	$11.64\% \pm 0.21$	$43.39\% \pm 1.84$	$18.37\% \pm 0.41$
et-32	$62.00\% \pm 0.79$	$29.02\% \pm 0.18$	$14.73\% \pm 0.48$	$52.22\% \pm 0.70$	$27.02\% \pm 0.20$
et-32	$47.13\% \pm 0.45$	$24.07\% \pm 0.05$	$17.51\% \pm 0.15$	$45.05\% \pm 0.24$	$23.73\% \pm 0.04$
et-32	$77.02\% \pm 0.64$	$42.13\% \pm 0.35$	$25.79\% \pm 0.4$	$65.59\% \pm 0.76$	$36.21\% \pm 0.16$
et-56	$78.75\% \pm 0.24$	$44.33\% \pm 0.48$	n/a	$66.19\% \pm 0.80$	$36.79\% \pm 0.45$
et-32	$74.99\% \pm 0.07$	$46.17\% \pm 0.16$	$30.54\% \pm 0.42$	$67.81\% \pm 0.42$	$41.50\% \pm 0.35$
et-56	$77.51\% \pm 0.00$	$47.90\% \pm 0.27$	n/a	$68.66\% \pm 0.21$	$42.19\% \pm 0.28$
ormor	$81.08\% \pm 0.24$	$54.21\% \pm 0.12$	$40.35\% \pm 0.97$	$73.70\% \pm 0.15$	$55.70\% \pm 0.13$
ormer	$01.90/0 \pm 0.24$	$54.51/0 \pm 0.15$	40.3570 ± 0.27	13.1970 ± 0.13	55.7270 ± 0.15
ormer	$\mathbf{83.50\%} \pm 0.11$	$57.75\% \pm 0.21$	$43.06\% \pm 0.14$	$75.52\% \pm 0.11$	$57.89\% \pm 0.14$
	bone et-32 et-32 et-32 et-32 et-56 et-32 et-56 ormer ormer	boneCIFAR10et-32 $43.31\% \pm 0.62$ et-32 $62.00\% \pm 0.79$ et-32 $47.13\% \pm 0.45$ et-32 $77.02\% \pm 0.64$ et-32 $77.5\% \pm 0.24$ et-32 $74.99\% \pm 0.07$ et-56 $77.51\% \pm 0.00$ ormer $81.98\% \pm 0.24$ ormer $83.50\% \pm 0.11$	boneCIFAR10CIFAR100et-32 $43.31\% \pm 0.62$ $20.44\% \pm 0.80$ et-32 $62.00\% \pm 0.79$ $29.02\% \pm 0.18$ et-32 $47.13\% \pm 0.45$ $24.07\% \pm 0.05$ et-32 $77.02\% \pm 0.64$ $42.13\% \pm 0.35$ et-56 $78.75\% \pm 0.24$ $44.33\% \pm 0.48$ et-32 $74.99\% \pm 0.07$ $46.17\% \pm 0.16$ et-56 $77.51\% \pm 0.24$ $47.90\% \pm 0.27$ ormer $81.98\% \pm 0.24$ $54.31\% \pm 0.13$ ormer $83.50\% \pm 0.11$ $57.75\% \pm 0.21$	boneCIFAR10CIFAR100Tiny-ImageNetet-32 $43.31\% \pm 0.62$ $20.44\% \pm 0.80$ $11.64\% \pm 0.21$ et-32 $62.00\% \pm 0.79$ $29.02\% \pm 0.18$ $14.73\% \pm 0.48$ et-32 $47.13\% \pm 0.45$ $24.07\% \pm 0.05$ $17.51\% \pm 0.15$ et-32 $77.02\% \pm 0.64$ $42.13\% \pm 0.35$ $25.79\% \pm 0.4$ et-56 $78.75\% \pm 0.24$ $44.33\% \pm 0.48$ n/aet-32 $74.99\% \pm 0.07$ $46.17\% \pm 0.16$ $30.54\% \pm 0.42$ et-56 $77.51\% \pm 0.00$ $47.90\% \pm 0.27$ n/aormer $81.98\% \pm 0.24$ $54.31\% \pm 0.13$ $40.35\% \pm 0.27$ ormer $83.50\% \pm 0.11$ $57.75\% \pm 0.21$ $43.06\% \pm 0.14$	boneCIFAR10CIFAR100Tiny-ImageNetC100 \rightarrow C10et-3243.31\% \pm 0.6220.44% \pm 0.8011.64% \pm 0.2143.39% \pm 1.84et-3262.00% \pm 0.7929.02% \pm 0.1814.73% \pm 0.4852.22% \pm 0.70et-3247.13% \pm 0.4524.07% \pm 0.0517.51% \pm 0.1545.05% \pm 0.24et-3277.02% \pm 0.6442.13% \pm 0.3525.79% \pm 0.465.59% \pm 0.76et-3277.02% \pm 0.0442.13% \pm 0.3525.79% \pm 0.465.59% \pm 0.76et-3277.02% \pm 0.0442.13% \pm 0.48n/a66.19% \pm 0.80et-3274.99% \pm 0.0746.17% \pm 0.1630.54% \pm 0.4267.81% \pm 0.42et-5677.51% \pm 0.0047.90% \pm 0.27n/a68.66% \pm 0.21ormer81.98% \pm 0.2454.31% \pm 0.1340.35% \pm 0.2773.79% \pm 0.15ormer83.50% \pm 0.1157.75% \pm 0.2143.06% \pm 0.1475.52% \pm 0.11

Blurring

Grey-scale

- Another approach for self-supervised learning has been proposed by Facebook AI using self-distillation.
- The images are split into **global** and **local patches** at different scales.
- Global patches contain label-related information (whole objects) while local patches contain finer details.



Source: https://towardsdatascience.com/on-dino-self-distillation-with-no-labels-c29e9365e382

Ξ

Davide Coccomini | 2021

- The idea of **self-distillation** in DINO is to use two similar ViT networks to classify the patches.
- The **teacher** network gets the global views as an input, while the **student** network get both the local and global ones.
- Both have a MLP head to predict the softmax probabilities, but do **not** use any labels.

- The student tries to imitate the output of the teacher, by minimizing the **cross-entropy** (or KL divergence) between the two probability distributions.
- The teacher slowly integrates the weights of the student (momentum or exponentially moving average) ema):

 $heta_{ ext{teacher}} \leftarrow eta \, heta_{ ext{teacher}} + (1 - eta)$



$$eta) \, heta_{
m student}$$

Source: https://ai.facebook.com/blog/dino-paws-computer-vision-with-self-supervised-transformers-and-10x-more-efficient-training/

- The predicted classes do not matter when pretraining, as there is no ground truth.
- The only thing that matters is the **high-level representation** of an image before the softmax output, which can be used for transfer learning.
- Self-distillation forces the representations to be meaningful at both the global and local scales, as the teacher gets global views.
- ImageNet classes are already separated in the high-level representations: a simple kNN (k-nearest neighbour) classifier achieves 74.5% accuracy (vs. 79.3% for a supervised ResNet50).

 \equiv



https://ai.facebook.com/blog/dino-paws-computer-vision-with-selfsupervised-transformers-and-10x-more-efficient-training



 \equiv

• More interestingly, by looking at the self-attention layers, one can obtain saliency maps that perform **object segmentation** without ever having been trained to!



3 - Other domains

Transformer for time series

- Transformers can also be used for time-series classification or forecasting instead of RNNs.
- Example: weather forecasting, market prices, etc.



Speech processing

 \equiv

- XLS-R from Facebook is a transformer-based architecture trained on 436,000 hours of publicly available speech recordings, from 128 languages.
- Self-supervised: contrastive learning and masked language modelling.
- Other models: UniSpeech, HuBERT, BigSSL...



Source: https://ai.facebook.com/blog/xls-r-self-supervised-speech-processing-for-128-languages/

Additional resources

 \equiv

https://theaisummer.com/vision-transformer/ https://theaisummer.com/transformers-computer-vision/ https://iaml-it.github.io/posts/2021-04-28-transformers-in-vision/ https://d2l.ai/chapter_attention-mechanisms-and-transformers/vision-transformer.html